Assessing the Accuracy of On-Farm Rapid Testing Kits for Nitrate-N Concentration in Forage

Laio Sobrinho, Sean Thompson, Yaogeng Lei

OLDS COLLEGE

OF AGRICULTURE & TECHNOLOGY

TECHNOLOGY ACCESS CENTRE FOR LIVESTOCK PRODUCTION

Introduction

- Ruminants, particularly cattle, are at risk of nitrate toxicity when forage nitrate levels are high – a concern in Alberta due to factors like excessive fertilization and environmental stressors (hail damage, drought & hard frost).
- Traditional lab analysis for nitrates is perceived as timeconsuming and costly, leading to producer reluctance.
- •There is need to encourage the use of rapid, on-farm nitrate testing methods. Assessing the accuracy of commercially available rapid test kits is essential to ensure producers have reliable tools to reduce the risk of nitrate poisoning.

Results & Discussion

Standing Forage Samples:

- The Horiba and Green Forage NECi Nitrate rapid tests generally aligned with wet chemical analysis for standing fresh forage samples in terms of nitrate concentration (Table 1; P > 0.05).
- Despite the wet chemical analysis indicating all samples were below 350 ppm, the rapid tests identified some fresh samples with higher Nitrate-N levels. This discrepancy suggests a potential over-sensitivity in the rapid tests or under-detection in lab analysis.

Objective

Verify the accuracy of rapid nitrate test kits – Horiba and NECi nitrate tests – in measuring forage nitrate concentration compared to industry-standard wet chemistry lab analysis.

Materials & Methods

Sample Collection

- Collected 2021 to 2022 across various Alberta farms.
- Targeted forage crops and hay bales at risk of nitrate accumulation due to hard frost (<5°C), drought or hail damage. Sample types:
- Standing forage (n=52): Including alternative forages (n=4), cereals only (n=5), grasses only (n=5), multispecies mix (n=18), legumes only (n=9), and legume-grass mix (n=7).
- Dry forage (n=29): Hay samples comprising legume-grass mix (n=16), grass only (n=10), and cereals only (n=3).

Table 1: Comparative analysis of Nitrate-N concentrations in standing forage samples.

Tests	Lab wet-chemical	Horiba	Green Forage	
	analysis	test	NECi test	
Number of Samples	48	48	43	
Mean Nitrate-N (ppm)	10.63	258.91	359.62	
Samples in Nitrate-N Ordinal Category				
1 (<350 ppm)	48	43	39	
2 (350-1000 ppm)	0	0	1	
3 (1000-2500 ppm)	0	5	3	
4 (>2500 ppm)	0	0	0	
Wilcoxon Signed Rank Test (Ordinal)				
Lab vs. Horiba	P = 0.062			
Lab vs. Green NECi	P = 0.125			
Horiba vs. Green NECi	P = 0.500			

Dry Forage Samples:

•The Dry Forage NECi kit tended to overestimate Nitrate-N in dry hay or dead plant samples compared to wet chemical analysis (Table 2; P < 0.05), but there is a need to verify if the lab underestimated Nitrate-N levels in these samples.

Rapid Nitrate Analysis

• For sap-available standing forages, two tests were used. The NECi Green Forage Nitrate Test utilizes an enzyme-based method suitable for green forages. The Horiba meter uses an ion-selective electrode (ISE) method, which directly measures nitrate ion concentration in the plant sap and provides an estimate of the plant's Nitrate-N levels.

- For dry hay and non-sap forage, the NECi Dry Forage Nitrate Test was used. This test is designed for dry forage samples, ensuring accuracy in nitrate quantification.
- Manufacturer protocols were followed. Results were converted to Nitrate-N for uniformity.



ible 2: Comparative analysis of Nitrate	concentrations in dry forage samples.		
ests	Lab wet-chemical	Dry Forage	
	analysis	NFCi test	

lests	Lab wet-chemical	Dry Forage	
	analysis	NECi test	
Ν	32	32	
Mean Nitrate-N (ppm)	19.38	154.06	
Samples in Nitrate-N Ordinal Catego	ry		
1 (<350 ppm)	32	24	
2 (350-1000 ppm)	0	8	
3 (1000-2500 ppm)	0	0	
4 (>2500 ppm)	0	0	
Wilcoxon Signed Rank Test (Ordinal)			
Lab vs. Dry Forage NECi	P = 0.008		

Conclusion

•**Speed vs. Accuracy:** Both rapid tests, although significantly faster than lab analysis, exhibit variances in Nitrate-N sensitivity which shows a need for further testing.

• Practicality: NECi tests took 15-20 minutes per sample which may be less practical for producers. The Horiba test stands out for its speed, requiring only 1-2 minutes per sample.

NECi Green Forage Nitrate Test

Laboratory Analysis

•All forage samples were analyzed using traditional wet chemistry methods at a commercial lab in Alberta involving precise liquid-based chemical processes.

Statistical Analysis

- The final analysis included 81 forage samples.
- The Paired Wilcoxon signed rank test was used to compare lab analysis with rapid test results.
- Samples were categorized by their Nitrate-N concentration.



•**Overall Impact:** This shows potential of rapid on-farm nitrate tests to aid Alberta producers in managing forage sources, but also indicates the need to further explore hand-held devices for dry forage nitrate quantification.

Next Steps

- Phase 2: In collaboration with Union Forage and Blue Rock, this will focus on validating the Horiba test across Alberta (excluding the NECi tests to streamline Horiba's applicability and performance).
- •Cross-Laboratory Validation: To better understand the observed discrepancies in nitrate analysis, samples will be sent for comparative testing at two labs, providing insight into variations in lab testing methodologies and results.